

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2016) KLR VOL 9-12 PART 392 pp. 4281-4588

SEPT-DEC 2016

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

SEPT-DEC CONTD.

12. Agi v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 4291
13. Duru (Otokoto) v. State p. 4373
14. Governor Ekiti State v. Olubunmo p. 4411
15. K.R.K Holdings Nig Ltd v. First Bank of Nigeria Ltd p. 4449
16. Maraire v. State p. 4467
17. Musa1 v. State p. 4499
18. Musa2 v. State p. 4527
19. Peoples Democratic Party v. Asadu p. 4553
20. Tabansi v. Tabansi p. 4561

ACTIONS - Consistency of - Appellant's case is clearly based on membership of 1st respondent - He cannot therefore make out a case different from that he set up to do at trial Court (H7) *Agi v. PDP* p. 4291

ACTIONS - Striking out - Reenlistment - Appellant whose case was struck out - Can reapply to same Court - To have the case reenlisted - Provided he satisfies Court to exercise discretion in his favour (H2) *PDP v. Asadu* p. 4553

ACTIONS - Withdrawal of - Is not subject to debate - 1st respondent having withdrawn its appeal - Has no right of audience before Court any more - And without any brief of argument - Counsel has nothing to urge the Court (H4)

ALIBI - Defence - Rejection of - Where evidence called by prosecution against an alibi is credible and compelling - Court is entitled to reject the defence of alibi (H7) *Duru (Otokoto) v. State* p. 4373

ALIBI - Investigation - Where accused raises alibi - He must give details of his whereabouts at earliest opportunity - Which will lead prosecution in their investigation (H6) *Duru (Otokoto) v. State* p. 4373

APPEALS - Academic issues - Weight - Academic issues do not engage the attention of Courts - Since Courts are not the proper fora for such ventilation (H2) *K.R.K . Ltd v. FBN Ltd* p. 4449

APPEALS - Criminal procedure - Brief of appeal - Additional grounds - May be filed and argued in a brief - But it must be regularized - Or be deemed incompetent as in this case (H1) *Maraire v. State* p. 4467

APPEALS - Grounds - Basis - Grounds must relate to ratio decidendi of judgment - Or decision appealed against - And not against an obiter dictum (H3) *K.R.K . Ltd v. FBN Ltd* p. 4449

APPEALS - Hearing - Jurisdiction - Supreme Court lacks jurisdiction to hear appellant's complaints in issue 1 - As it relates to the decision

INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 9-12 KLR 4283

of the trial Court (H3) Maraire v. State p. 4467

APPEALS - Issues - Clarity of - Verbosity does not enhance quality of issues - As issues must be precise and devoid of ambiguity - For easy comprehension of matters for adjudication (H1) Governor Ekiti State v. Olubunmo p. 4411

APPEALS - Issues - Reformulation of - Court can reformulate issues in order to bring out real questions for determination - And it can even adopt sole issue where it is determinative of the appeal (H2) Governor Ekiti State v. Olubunmo p. 4411

APPEALS - Judgment - Role of respondent - Is to uphold and support judgment appealed against - And not to advance oral submission against same (H5) Agi v. PDP p. 4291

APPEALS - Record of - Binding nature - Appellate Court is bound by record of appeal - Which is also binding on all parties and their counsel (H4) Musa2 v. State p. 4527

APPEALS - Unchallenged decision - Effect - Appellant having failed to challenge the finding of the Court of Appeal - Cannot now be allowed to contest same (H1) K.R.K . Ltd v. FBN Ltd p. 4449

ARMED ROBBERY - Proof - Ingredients - Prosecution must prove that there was robbery - That the robbers were armed - And that accused participated in the robbery (H3) Musa1 v. State p. 4499

ARMED ROBBERY - Weapons - Tendering of - As there is sufficient evidence against appellant - Non tendering of weapons used in operation - Is not fatal to case of respondent (H2) Musa1 v. State p. 4499

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Constitution - Supremacy of - The Ekiti State House of Assembly must act within Constitutional limit - As any of its laws which are inconsistent with Constitution are bound to be nullified (H3) Governor Ekiti State v. Olubunmo p. 4411

4284 INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 9-12 KLR

COURTS - Court of Appeal - Power to make consequential orders, s.15 CA Act - Can be exercised by it as it deems fit - In order to avoid multiplicity of legal proceedings - To give effect and meaning to its judgment (H6) Governor Ekiti State v. Olubunmo p. 4411

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Armed robbery trial - Commencement of - Mode as provided by statutes and the Constitution - Is by summary trial procedure - That is by preferring a charge (H2) Maraire v. State p. 4467

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Arraignment - Breach - Allegation of absence of arraignment is an error of law - Which has the effect to render entire proceedings at trial a nullity (H1) Musa2 v. State p. 4527

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Retraction - Where accused retracts from his confession - Court should not reject it - But rather is obligated to consider the weight to be attached to the statement (H7) Musa1 v. State p. 4499

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conspiracy - Proof - Conspiracy is a matter of inference from certain criminal acts of parties concerned - Done in pursuance of criminal purpose in common between them (H8) Musa1 v. State p. 4499

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Fair hearing - Breach of - As appellant was not properly arraigned - His right to fair hearing was breach - And as such has rendered entire proceedings of the lower Courts a nullity (H3) Musa2 v. State p. 4527

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Proof - Means of - Guilt of accused can be established through confessional statement - Circumstantial evidence - And evidence of eye witness (H4) Musa1 v. State p. 4499

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Proof - No of witnesses - Proof beyond reasonable doubt - Is not based on number but on quality of witness called - As Court can convict on evidence of a single credible witness (H1) Musa1 v. State p. 4499

INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 9-12 KLR 4285

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Trial - Commencement - Trial of accused commences on arraignment - And taking of his plea (H2) Musa2 v. State p. 4527

DOCUMENTS - Forgery - Proof - Conditions - For falsification of age to be sustained - The documents purportedly forged must be a false representation of genuine documents (H18) Agi v. PDP p. 4291

DOCUMENTS - Forgery of - Proof - Ingredients - Appellant must prove inter alia that there is document in writing - And that accused knew the document to be false (H17) Agi v. PDP p. 4291

ELECTION PETITIONS - Falsification of age - Proof - It is not enough for appellant to show falsification of age - As he must prove that the act - Was done with intention of gaining an advantage (H15) Agi v. PDP p. 4291

ELECTION PETITIONS - INEC - Neutrality of - INEC is merely a nominal and neutral party - And is without any stake in all election matters (H1) Agi v. PDP p. 4291

ELECTIONS - Disqualification - Age falsification - To disqualify candidate on basis of age falsification - It must be proved that the falsification was done to circumvent 1999 Constitution s. 177 (H12) Agi v. PDP p. 4291

ELECTIONS - Governorship - Supremacy of Constitution - Appellant cannot rely on guidelines of political party - At the expense of non compliance with other guidelines - And s.177 of 1999 (H14) Agi v. PDP p. 4291

ELECTIONS - Preelection - Decision of political party - Finality of - By virtue of PDP Guidelines art. 15(3) - Appellant is bound by decision of the NEC on primary election - Which is final and binding (H13) Agi v. PDP p. 4291

ELECTIONS - Pre election - Jurisdiction - Federal High Court - By Electoral Act 2010 ss. 31(5)(6), 87(9) - Additional jurisdiction is con-

4286 INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 9-12 KLR

ferred on the Court to determine election matters (H2) *Agi v. PDP* p. 4291

ELECTIONS - Qualification - Documents - Where there is false declaration in a document - But same is not a qualifying factor - Its presentation cannot disqualify an otherwise qualified candidate (H16) *Agi v. PDP* p. 4291

EVIDENCE - Admissibility - Confession - Information discovered from a confession - The information and confession may be given in evidence - Where ordinarily such information - Would not be admissible, s. 30 EA (H5) *Duru (Otokoto) v. State* p. 4373

EVIDENCE - Confession - Meaning of - Confession is oral or written statement made voluntarily by accused - Wherein he admits his guilt in respect of the offence charged (H5) *Musa1 v. State* p. 4499

EVIDENCE - Confession - Test of - Court is to determine inter alia before relying on confession - Whether there is anything outside confession - Which shows that it may be true (H6) *Musa1 v. State* p. 4499

EVIDENCE - Confession - Weight on co accused - Confessional statement is only admissible against the maker - But not against co accused - Save the co accused adopts same (H4) *Duru (Otokoto) v. State* p. 4373

GOVERNMENT - Local Government Council - Dissolution of - Governor can dissolve LG council on the basis of overriding public interest - In a period of emergency (H5) *Governor Ekiti State v. Olubunmo* p. 4411

GOVERNMENT - Local Government Council - Security of tenure - Election of LG officials are clothed with constitutional force - Hence they cannot be abridged without breaching the Constitution (H4) *Governor Ekiti State v. Olubunmo* p. 4411

JURISDICTION - Determination - Basis - It is the claim of plaintiff

INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 9-12 KLR 4287

that determines jurisdiction - As Court is to restrict itself to the case put forward by plaintiff (H6) *Agi v. PDP* p. 4291

MATRIMONIAL CAUSES - Maintenance - Award of - Conditions - Court in giving order for maintenance - Must have regard to the means/earning capacity - And conduct of parties to the marriage (H3) *Tabansi v. Tabansi* p. 4561

MATRIMONIAL CAUSES - Maintenance - Award of - Court in granting prayer for maintenance - Is to ensure that party adversely affected by the order - Will have something left over to sustain himself (H1) *Tabansi v. Tabansi* p. 4561

MATRIMONIAL CAUSES - Maintenance of child - Award of - Age limit - Power of Court to order for maintenance of child - Shall not be exercised for the benefit of child who has attained 21 years of age (H4) *Tabansi v. Tabansi* p. 4561

MOTIONS - Supreme Court- Discretionary application - Revisiting of - Applicant whose application is dismissed - Can apply to set aside the dismissal order - But same must be vacated before his latter application can be heard (H1) *PDP v. Asadu* p. 4553

MURDER - Proof - Circumstantial evidence - For such evidence to ground conviction - It must not only be cogent - But must lead to irresistible conclusion - That accused committed the murder (H3) *Duru (Otokoto) v. State* p. 4373

MURDER - Proof - Ingredients - Prosecution must prove that deceased died - That death was caused by accused - And the act of accused that caused the death was intentional (H1) *Duru (Otokoto) v. State* p. 4373

MURDER - Proof - Means of - Guilt of accused can be proved beyond reasonable doubt by his confessional statement - Evidence of eye witness - Or circumstantial evidence (H2) *Duru (Otokoto) v. State* p. 4373

4288 INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 9-12 KLR

ORDERS OF COURT - Fresh trial - Correctness of - As trial of appellant was vitiated ab initio - And in view of gravity of offence he is charged with - It is proper to order for a fresh trial (H6) Musa2 v. State p. 4527

ORDERS OF COURT - Retrial order - Conditions for - It is made where inter alia there is error in law - Such that trial was not rendered a nullity - And it cannot be said that there is no miscarriage of justice (H5) Musa2 v. State p. 4527

PLEADINGS - Determination of - Basis - In construing pleadings - The totality of averments have to be taken and read together - In order to get a narrative and flowing story of the party (H3) Agi v. PDP p. 4291

POLITICS - Membership - Subscription fee - Proof - Any certification on membership card that fees have been paid - Is sufficient compliance of payment of subscription fees (H11) Agi v. PDP p. 4291

POLITICS - Political parties - Membership of - Courts do not have jurisdiction to determine membership of political parties - As such issues are internal affairs of parties - And thus are not justiciable (H8) Agi v. PDP p. 4291

RULES OF COURT - Compliance - Rules of Court are meant to be followed but not blindly - As they are made to aid Court in the administration of justice (H2) Tabansi v. Tabansi p. 4561

STATUTES - Interpretation - Literal rule - Statutory words must be given their ordinary meaning - As no extraneous matters need be introduced (H10) Agi v. PDP p. 4291

TAXATION - Payment of tax - Tax is paid to the Government and not political party - And performance of such duty is evidenced by production of tax receipt (H9) Agi v. PDP p. 4291

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES 4289

Armed Robbery & Firearms (Special Provisions) Act Cap. 398 LFN 1990, s. 1(2)(a) *Maraire v. State* p. 4467

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, ss. 31(1)(2)(3)(4)(5)(6), 177, 251(1) *Agi v. PDP* p. 4291; s. 315 *Maraire v. State* p. 4467; s. 36(1) *Musa2 v. State* p. 4527; s. 7(1) *Governor Ekiti State v. Olubunmo* p. 4411

Court of Appeal Act 2015, s. 15 *Governor Ekiti State v. Olubunmo* p. 4411

Criminal Code Cap. 30 Laws of Eastern Nigeria 1963, ss. 7(d), 319(1) *Duru (Otokoto) v. State* p. 4373

Criminal Procedure Code, s. 215 *Musa2 v. State* p. 4527

Criminal Procedure Law Cap. 49 vol. II Laws of Edo State, ss. 331, 337 *Maraire v. State* p. 4467

Electoral Act 2010 (as amended), s. 87(9) *PDP v. Asadu* p. 4553

Electoral Act 2010, ss. 35(5), 87(4)(b)(ii), (9) *Agi v. PDP* p. 4291

Evidence Act 2011, s. 46 *Musa2 v. State* p. 4527; ss. 138, 167(d) *Musa1 v. State* p. 4499

Evidence Act Cap E14 LFN 2011, s. 135(1) *Agi v. PDP* p. 4291

Evidence Act LFN 2011, ss. 29(4), 30 *Duru (Otokoto) v. State* p. 4373

Federal High Court Act, s. 33 *Maraire v. State* p. 4467

High Court Laws of Edo State, s. 12 *Maraire v. State* p. 4467

High Court Rules of Anambra State 1988, O. 24 r. 16 *PDP v. Asadu* p. 4553

4290 *INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES IN (2016) 9-12 KLR*

Local Government Administration (Amendment) Law 2001, s. 23
B(i)(ii) Governor Ekiti State v. Olubunmo p. 4411

Matrimonial Causes Act, s. 70(4) Tabansi v. Tabansi p. 4561

Matrimonial Causes Rules, O. XXI r. 3, O. XIV r. 4(1)(2)(4)(6)(7)(8)
Tabansi v. Tabansi p. 4561

Penal Code Cap. 107 Laws of Jigawa State 1998, ss. 221(b), 223
Musa2 v. State p. 4527

Robbery & Firearms (Special Provisions) Act Cap. 398 LFN 1990,
ss. 1(2), 5 Musa1 v. State p. 4499

Supreme Court Rules 1999 (as amended), O. 6 r. 5(1)(c) Maraire v.
State p. 4467